itigator Law	ATTORNEYS AT LAW
Ē	V

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	ALAN J. LEFEBVRE, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 000848 WILLIAM D. SCHULLER, ESQ.	
3	Nevada Bar No. 011271 Litigator Law	
4	11830 Tevare Lane #1062 Las Vegas, Nevada 89138	
5	Telephone: (702) 840-6982 Facsimile: (702) 224-2135	
6	E-Mail: alefebvre@litigatorlaw.com wschuller@litigatorlaw.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	* * *	:
12	GABRIELLE CLARK; and WILLIAM CLARK,	CASE NO. 2:
13	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFF
14	VS.	EXCEED PA OPPOSITIO
15	DEMOCRACY PREP PUBLIC SCHOOLS,	PREP DEFE DISMISS
16	Inc.; and DEMOCRACY PREP NEVADA LLC; and DEMOCRACY PREP AGASSI	
17	CAMPUS; and NATASHA TRIVERS, in her capacity as CEO of Democracy Prep Public	
	Schools, Inc.; and KIMBERLY WALL, in her	
18	capacity as assistant superintendent of	

CASE NO. 2:20-cv-02324-APG-VCF

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO **EXCEED PAGE LIMIT FOR** OPPOSITION TO DEMOCRACY PREP DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO **DISMISS** 

DA SSI in her ublic , in her and executive director of Democracy Prep Agassi Campus; and KATHRYN BASS, in her capacity as a teacher at Democracy Prep Agassi Campus; and JOSEPH MORGAN, in his capacity as president of Democracy Prep Agassi Campus; and REBECCA FEINEN, in her capacity as the Executive Director of the State Public Charter School Authority; and MELISSA MACKEDON (chair), RANDY KIRNER (vice chair), SHELIA MOULTON, SAMI RANDOLPH, MALLORY CYR, TONIA HOLMES-SUTTON, DON SOIFER, TAMIKA SHAUNTEE ROSALES, LEE FARRIS, in their capacities as members of the State Public **Charter School Authority** 

Defendants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiffs Gabrielle Clark and William Clark ("Plaintiffs") hereby move to exceed the twenty-four (24)-page limit set by LR 7-3(b) by ten (10) additional pages (for a total of thirty-four (34) pages) for their opposition to the Democracy Prep Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 114] ("Motion to Dismiss"), which is due on July 2, 2021.

Good cause exists to exceed the page limit because Plaintiffs cannot adequately or fully respond to the Motion to Dismiss, which is 30 pages and concerns twelve (12) separate causes of action, without exceeding the page limit set forth in LR 7-3(b). Good cause is present because this matter involves complex issues involving constitutional and statutory law and predicate issues of mootness and governmental immunity. Finally, this Court previously granted the Democracy Prep Defendants' Motion to Exceed Page Limit for Their Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 107]. Minute Order in Chambers [ECF No. 109]. Counsel for Democracy Prep Defendants has consented to Plaintiffs' request to exceed as well.

Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant permission for Plaintiffs to exceed the page limit for their opposition to the Motion to Dismiss.

DATED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2021.

## LITIGATOR LAW

By /s/ William D. Schuller, Esq. ALAN J. LEFEBVRE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 000848 WILLIAM D. SCHULLER, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 011271 11830 Tevare Lane #1062 Las Vegas, Nevada 89138

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IT IS SO ORDERED:

June 28, 2021 Dated:

> ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28